arXiv:1406.0316v1 [math.AP] 2 Jun 2014

SCHRÖDINGER TYPE OPERATORS WITH UNBOUNDED DIFFUSION AND POTENTIAL TERMS

A. CANALE, A. RHANDI, AND C. TACELLI

Abstract. We prove that the realization A_p in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$, of the Schrödinger type operator $A = (1 + |x|^\alpha)\Delta - |x|^\beta$ with domain $D(A_p) = \{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$ generates a strongly continuous analytic semigroup provided that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Moreover this semigroup is consistent, irreducible, immediately compact and ultracontractive.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the generation of analytic semigroups in L^p-spaces of Schrödinger type operators of the form

$$Au(x) = a(x)\Delta u(x) - V(x)u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where $a(x) = 1 + |x|^\alpha$ and $V(x) = |x|^\beta$ with $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. We investigate also spectral properties of such semigroups. In the case when $\alpha \in [0, 2]$ and $\beta \geq 0$, generation results of analytic semigroups for suitable realizations A_p of the operator A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ have been proved in [4].

For $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha > 2$, the generation results depend upon N as it is proved in [7]. More specifically, if $N = 1, 2$ no realization of A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generates a strongly continuous (resp. analytic) semigroup. The same happens if $N \geq 3$ and $p \leq N/(N-2)$. On the other hand, if $N \geq 3$ and $p > N/(N-2)$, then the maximal realization A_p of the operator A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generates a positive analytic semigroup, which is also contractive if $\alpha \geq (p-1)(N-2)$.

Generation results concerning the case where $\beta = 0$ and with drift terms of the form $|x|^{\alpha-2}x$ were obtained recently in [8].

Here we consider the case where $\alpha > 2$ and assume that $N > 2$. Let us denote by A_p the realization of A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ endowed with its maximal domain

$$D_{p,max}(A) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \ : \ Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}. \tag{1.2}$$

In the main result of the paper we prove that, for any $1 < p < \infty$, the realization A_p of A in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with domain

$$D(A_p) = \left\{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\right\},$$

generates a positive strongly continuous and analytic semigroup $(T_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$ for any $\beta > \alpha - 2$. This semigroup is also consistent, irreducible, immediately compact and ultracontractive.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we study the invariance of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the semigroup generated by A in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and show its compactness. In Section 3 we use

reverse Hölder classes and some results in [11] to study the solvability of the elliptic problem in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, in Section 4 we prove the generation results.

Notation. For any $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the set of all functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ that are continuously differentiable in $\mathbb{R}^N$ up to k-th order and have compact support (say $\mathrm{supp}(f)$). The space $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the set of all bounded and continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, and we denote by $\|f\|_\infty$ its sup-norm, i.e., $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x)|$. We use also the space $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N) : \lim_{|x|\to\infty} f(x) = 0\}$. If f is smooth enough we set

$$|\nabla f(x)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i f(x)|^2, \qquad |D^2 f(x)|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N |D_{ij} f(x)|^2.$$

For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and any $r > 0$ we denote by $B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the open ball, centered at x_0 with radius r. We simply write $B(r)$ when $x_0 = 0$. The function χ_E denotes the characteristic function of the (measurable) set E, i.e., $\chi_E(x) = 1$ if $x \in E$, $\chi_E(x) = 0$ otherwise.

For any $p \in [1, \infty)$ and any positive measure $d\mu$, we simply write L^p_μ instead of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, d\mu)$. The Euclidean inner product in L^2_μ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mu$. In the particular case when μ is the Lebesgue measure, we keep the classical notation $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$. Finally, by $x \cdot y$ we denote the Euclidean scalar product of the vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

2. Generation of semigroups in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$

In this section we recall some properties of the elliptic and parabolic problems associated with A in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We prove the existence of a Lyapunov function for A in the case where $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. This implies the uniqueness of the solution semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ to the associated parabolic problem. Using a dominating argument, we show that $T(t)$ is compact and $T(t)C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

First, we endow A with its maximal domain in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$D_{max}(A) = \{u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty : \ Au \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Then, we consider for any $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the elliptic equation

$$\lambda u - Au = f. \tag{2.1}$$

It is well-known that equations (2.1) admit at least a solution in $D_{max}(A)$ (see [3, Theorem 2.1.1]). A solution is obtained as follows.
Take the unique solution to the Dirichlet problem associated with $\lambda - A$ into the balls $B(0, n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using an Schauder interior estimates one can prove that the sequence of solutions so obtained converges to a solution u of (2.1). It is also known that a solution to (2.1) is in general not unique. The solution u, which we obtained by approximation, is nonnegative whenever $f \geq 0$.

As regards the parabolic problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = Au(t, x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = f(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it is well-known that one can associate a semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ of bounded operator in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u(t,x) = T(t)f(x)$ is a solution of (2.2) in the following sense:

$$u \in C([0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1+\frac{\sigma}{2},2+\sigma}_{loc}((0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and u solves (2.2) for any $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and some $\sigma \in (0,1)$. Uniqueness of solutions to (2.2) in general is not guaranteed. Moreover the semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is not strongly continuous in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and do not preserve in general the space $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For more details we refer to [3, Section 2].

Uniqueness is obtained if there exists a positive function $\varphi(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, called *Lyapunov function*, such that $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \varphi(x) = +\infty$ and $A\varphi - \lambda\varphi \leq 0$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Let $\varphi = 1 + |x|^\gamma$ where $\gamma > 2$ then there existence constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$A\varphi \leq C\varphi$$

Proof. An easy computation gives

$$A\varphi = \gamma(N+\gamma-2)(1+|x|^\alpha)|x|^{\gamma-2} - (1+|x|^\gamma)|x|^\beta\,,$$

then since $\beta > \alpha - 2$ there existence $C > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(N+\gamma-2)(1+|x|^\alpha)|x|^{\gamma-2} \leq (1+|x|^\gamma)|x|^\beta + C(1+|x|^\gamma).$$

□

Then we can assert that problem (2.2) admits an unique solution in $C([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^{1,2}((0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$ and problem (2.1) admits an unique solutions in $D_{max}(A)$.

In order to investigate the compactness of the semigroup and the invariance of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we check the behaviour of $T(t)\mathbb{1}$. We use the following result (see [3, Theorem 5.1.11]).

Theorem 2.2. *Let us fix $t > 0$. Then $T(t)\mathbb{1} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if $T(t)$ is compact and $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is invariant for $T(t)$.*

Let A_0 be the operator defined by $A_0 := a(x)\Delta$. By [5, Example 7.3] or [7, Proposition 2.2 (iii)], we have that the minimal semigroup $(S(t))$ is generated by $(A_0, D_{max}(A_0)) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover the resolvent and the semigroup map $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and are compact.

Set $v(t,x) = S(t)f(x)$ and $u(t,x) = T(t)f(x)$ for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $0 \leq f \in C^b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then the function $w(t,x) = v(t,x) - u(t,x)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} w_t(t,x) = A_0 w(t,x) + V(x)u(t,x), & t > 0, \\ w(0,x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

So, applying [3, Theorem 4.1.3], we have $w \geq 0$ and hence $T(t) \leq S(t)$. Thus, $T(t)\mathbb{1} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, since $S(t)\mathbb{1} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t > 0$ (see [7, Proposition 2.2 (iii)]). Thus, $T(t)$ is compact and $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is invariant for $T(t)$ (cf. [3, Theorem 5.1.11]). Then we have proved the following proposition

Proposition 2.3. *The semigroup $(T(t))$ is generated by $(A, D_{max}(A)) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, maps $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and is compact.*

3. Solvability of the elliptic problem in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$

In this section we study the existence and uniqueness of the elliptic problem $\lambda u - A_p u = f$ for a given $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Let us consider first the case $\lambda = 0$.

We note that the equation $(1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta u - Vu = f$ is equivalent to the equation

$$\Delta u - \frac{V}{1+|x|^\alpha} u = \frac{f}{1+|x|^\alpha} =: \tilde{f}.$$

Therefore we focus our attention to the L^p-realization $\tilde{A}_p$ of the Schrödinger operator

$$\tilde{A} = \Delta - \frac{V}{1+|x|^\alpha} = \Delta - \tilde{V}\ .$$

Here $0 \leq \tilde{V} \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, by standard results, it follows that $0 \in \rho(\tilde{A}_p)$ and

$$(-\tilde{A}_p)^{-1}\tilde{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x,y)\tilde{f}(y)dy, \tag{3.1}$$

where G denotes the Green function of $\tilde{A}_p$ which is given by its heat kernel $\tilde{p}$

$$G(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{p}(t,x,y)\, dt.$$

Thus the function $u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x,y)\frac{f(y)}{1+|y|^\alpha}dy \in D_{p,max}(A)$ and solves $A_p u = f$ for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So we have to study the operator

$$u(x) = Lf(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x,y)\frac{f(y)}{1+|y|^\alpha}dy. \tag{3.2}$$

To this purpose, we use the bounds of $G(x,y)$ obtained in [11] when the potential of $\tilde{A}_p$ belongs to the reverse Hölder class B_q for some $q \geq N/2$.

We recall that a nonnegative locally L^q-integrable function V on $\mathbb{R}^N$ is said to be in B_q, $1 < q < \infty$, if there exists $C > 0$ such that the reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B V^q(x)dx\right)^{1/q} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B V(x)dx\right)$$

holds for every ball B in $\mathbb{R}^N$. A nonnegative function $V \in L^\infty_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is in B_∞ if

$$\|V\|_{L^\infty(B)} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B V(x)dx\right)$$

for any ball B in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

One can easily verify that

$$\tilde{V} \in \begin{cases} B_\infty & \text{if } \beta-\alpha \geq 0 \\ B_q & \text{if } \beta-\alpha > -\frac{N}{q} \\ B_{\frac{N}{2}} & \text{if } \beta-\alpha > -2 \\ B_N & \text{if } \beta-\alpha > -1 \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

for some $q > 1$. So, it follows from [11, Theorem 2.7] that, if $\beta - \alpha > -2$ then for any $k > 0$ there is some constant $C_k > 0$ such that for any x, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$|G(x,y)| \leq \frac{C_k}{(1+m(x)|x-y|)^k} \cdot \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where the function m is defined by

$$\frac{1}{m(x)} := \sup_{r>0} \left\{ r \,:\, \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)dy \leq 1 \right\}, \quad x \in R^N. \tag{3.5}$$

Due to the importance of the auxiliary function m we give a lower bound.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha - 2 < \beta < \alpha$. There exists $C = C(\alpha, \beta, N)$ such that*

$$m(x) \geq C\,(1+|x|)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and set $f_x(r) = \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)dy$, $r > 0$. Since $\tilde{V} \in B_{N/2}$ implies $V \in B_q$ for some $q > \frac{N}{2}$, by [11, Lemma 1.2], we have

$$\lim_{r\to 0} f_x(r) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \lim_{r\to\infty} f_x(r) = \infty.$$

Thus, $0 < m(x) < \infty$.
In order to estimate $\frac{1}{m(x)}$ we need to find $r_0 = r_0(x)$ such that $r \in [r_0, \infty[$ implies $f_x(r) \geq 1$. In this case we will have $\frac{1}{m(x)} \leq r_0$.

Since $\tilde{V} \in B_{N/2}$, there exists a constant C_1 depending only α, β, N such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \tilde{V}^{N/2}(y)dy\right)^{2/N} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \tilde{V}(y)\,dy\right)$$

for any ball B in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(r) &= \sigma_{N-1} r^2 \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|}\int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)dy \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma_{N-1} r^2}{C_1}\left(\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|}\int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2}dy\right)^{2/N} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{N-1}^{1-2/N}}{C_1}\left(\int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2}dy\right)^{2/N}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2}dy - C_2 \geq 0\,, \tag{3.7}$$

then $f_x(r) \geq 1$, where $C_2 = C_2(\alpha,\beta,N) = \frac{C_1^{N/2}}{\sigma_{N-1}^{N/2-1}}$. Note that $\tilde{V} \geq \tilde{V}^*$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,1)$ with $\tilde{V}^*(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^{\beta-\alpha}$. Hence,

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2}dy \ \geq \ \int_{B(x,r)\setminus B(0,1)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2}dy \geq \int_{B(x,r)\setminus B(0,1)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2}dy$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2}dy - \int_{B(x,r)\cap B(0,1)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2}dy \\
&\geq \int_{B(x,r)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2}dy - \int_{B(0,1)} \tilde{V}^*(y)^{N/2}dy \\
&= \int_{B(x,r)} (\tilde{V}^*)(y)^{N/2}dy - \frac{2^{1-N/2}\sigma_{N-1}}{N(2-\alpha+\beta)} \\
&\geq \sigma_{N-1} r^N \inf_{B(x,r)} (\tilde{V}^*)^{N/2} - C_3(\alpha,\beta,N) \qquad (3.8)\\
&= \sigma_{N-1} \frac{r^N}{(|x|+r)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_3. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\eta = \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ a parameter to be choose later, and set

$$r_0 = \delta(1+|x|)^\eta \,.$$

By (3.8) condition (3.7) became

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(x,r_0)} \tilde{V}(y)^{N/2}dy - C_2 &\geq \sigma_{N-1} \frac{r_0^N}{(|x|+r_0)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_2 - C_3 \\
&= \sigma_{N-1} \frac{\delta^N (1+|x|)^{\eta N}}{(|x|+\delta(1+|x|)^\eta)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_4 \\
&\geq \sigma_{N-1} \frac{\delta^N (1+|x|)^{\eta N}}{(1+|x|+\delta(1+|x|)^\eta)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_4 \\
&\geq \sigma_{N-1} \frac{\delta^N (1+|x|)^{\eta N}}{((\delta+1)(1+|x|))^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}N}} - C_4 \\
&= \sigma_{N-1} \left(\frac{\delta}{(1+\delta)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}}} \right)^N - C_4 \,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} < 1$ we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\sigma_{N-1} \left(\frac{\delta}{(1+\delta)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}}} \right)^N - C_4 \geq 0$.

So, (3.7) is satisfied for $r = r_0$ and hence it is satisfied for any $r > r_0$. Thus, $f_x(r) > 1$ for $r > r_0$, and, hence, $\frac{1}{m(x)} \leq r_0 = \delta(1+|x|)^\eta$. □

The same lower bound holds in the case $\beta \geq \alpha$ as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\beta \geq \alpha$. There exists $C = C(\alpha,\beta,N)$ such that*

$$m(x) \geq C\,(1+|x|)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}} \,. \qquad (3.10)$$

Proof. From [11, Lemma 1.4 (c)], there exist $C_1 > 0$ and $0 < \eta_0 < 1$ such that

$$m(x) \geq \frac{C_1 m(y)}{(1+|x-y|m(y))^{\eta_0}} \,.$$

In particular,

$$m(x) \geq \frac{C_1 m(0)}{(1+|x|m(0))^{\eta_0}},$$

where $\frac{1}{m(0)} = \sup_{r>0}\{r \,:\, f_0(r) \leq 1\}$ with

$$f_0(r) = \frac{1}{r^{N-2}} \int_{B(0,r)} \frac{|z|^\beta}{1+|z|^\alpha} dz = \frac{\sigma_{N-1}}{r^{N-2}} \int_0^r \frac{\rho^{\beta+N-1}}{1+\rho^\alpha} d\rho \,.$$

We have $\frac{\sigma_{N-1}}{(\beta+N)(1+r^\alpha)} r^{\beta+2} \leq f_0(r) \leq \frac{\sigma_{N-1}}{\beta+N} r^{\beta+2}$. Since $\beta > 0$ and $\beta - \alpha + 2 > 0$ it follows that $\lim_{r\to 0} f_0(r) = 0$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} f_0(r) = \infty$. Consequently,

$$0 < \sup_{r>0}\{r \,:\, f_0(r) \leq 1\} < \infty$$

and, hence, $m(0) = C_2$ for some constant $C_2 > 0$. Then

$$m(x) \geq \frac{C_1 C_2}{(1+C_2|x|)^{\eta_0}} \geq \frac{C_3}{(1+|x|)^{\eta_0}} \tag{3.11}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$.

On the other hand, since $\beta \geq \alpha$, we obtain by (3.3) that $\tilde{V} \in B_\infty$. Then, by [11, Remark 2.9], we have

$$m(x) \geq C_5 \tilde{V}^{1/2}(x) \geq C_6 |x|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} (1+|x|)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \,. \tag{3.12}$$

The thesis follows taking into account (3.11) and (3.12). □

Applying the estimate (3.4) and the previous lemma we obtain the following upper bounds for the Green function G.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G(x,y)$ denotes the Green function of the Schrödinger operator $\Delta - \frac{|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha}$ and assume that $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then,*

$$G(x,y) \leq C_k \frac{1}{1+|x-y|^k \,(1+|y|)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}k}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{3.13}$$

for any $k > 0$ and some constant $C_k > 0$ depending on k.

Using the above lemma we have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $\alpha > 2$, $N > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for every $0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$*

$$\||x|^\gamma L f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p, \tag{3.14}$$

where L is defined in (3.2).

Proof. Let $\Gamma(x,y) = \frac{G(x,y)}{1+|y|^\alpha}$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma(x,y) f(y) dy.$$

We have to show that

$$\||x|^\gamma u\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

Let us consider the regions $E_1 := \{|x-y| \le (1+|y|)\}$ and $E_2 := \{|x-y| > (1+|y|)\}$ and write

$$u(x) = \int_{E_1} \Gamma(x,y) f(y) dy + \int_{E_2} \Gamma(x,y) f(y) dy =: u_1(x) + u_2(x)\,.$$

In E_1 we have

$$\frac{1+|x|}{1+|y|} \le \frac{1+|x-y|+|y|}{1+|y|} \le 2.$$

So, by Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} ||x|^\gamma u_1(x)| &\le |x|^\gamma \int_{E_1} \Gamma(x,y)|f(y)|dy \le \frac{1+|x|^\beta}{1+|x|^\alpha} \int_{E_1} \frac{1+|x|^\alpha}{1+|y|^\alpha} G(x,y)|f(y)|dy \\ &\le C(1+|x|)^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x,y)|f(y)|dy \le Cm^2(x)\tilde{u}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{u}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x,y)|f(y)|dy$. By (3.3) we have $\tilde{V} \in B_{\frac{N}{2}}$. So applying [11, Corollary 2.8], we obtain $\|m^2\tilde{u}\|_p \le C\|f\|_p$ and then $\||x|^\gamma u_1\|_p \le C\|f\|_p$.

In the region E_2, we have, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} ||x|^\gamma u_2(x)| &\le |x|^\gamma \int_{E_2} \Gamma(x,y)|f(y)|dy = \int_{E_2} (|x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y))^{\frac{1}{p'}} (|x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y))^{\frac{1}{p}} |f(y)|dy \\ &\le \left(\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y) dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y)|f(y)|^p dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We propose to estimate first $\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y)dy$. In E_2 we have $1+|x| \le 1+|y|+|x-y| \le 2|x-y|$, then from (3.13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y) &\le |x|^\gamma G(x,y) \\ &\le C \frac{1+|x|^\beta}{|x-y|^k (1+|y|)^{k\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}} \\ &\le C \frac{1}{|x-y|^{k-\beta+N-2}} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{k\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

For every $k > \beta - N + 2$, taking into account that $\frac{1}{|x-y|} < \frac{1}{1+|y|}$, we get

$$|x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y) \le \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{k\frac{\beta-\alpha+2}{2}+N-2-\beta}}\,.$$

Since $\beta - \alpha + 2 > 0$ we can choose k such that $\frac{k}{2}(\beta-\alpha+2) + N - 2 - \beta > N$, then

$$\int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y)dy \le \int_{E_2} |x|^\gamma G(x,y)dy \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{\frac{k}{2}(2+\beta-\alpha)+N-2-\beta}} dy < C\,.$$

Moreover by the symmetry of G we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^\gamma \Gamma(x,y) &\le |x|^\gamma G(x,y) \\ &\le C \frac{1+|x|^\beta}{|x-y|^k (1+|x|)^{k\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \quad C\frac{1}{|x-y|^{k-\beta+N-2}}\frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{k\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}}\ .$$

Taking into account that $\frac{1}{|x-y|}\leq 2\frac{1}{1+|x|}$, arguing as above we obtain

$$\int_{E_2}|x|^{\gamma}\Gamma(x,y)dx\leq C. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence (3.15) implies

$$||x|^{\gamma}u_2(x)|^p\leq C\int_{E_2}|x|^{\gamma}\Gamma(x,y)|f(y)|^p dy. \tag{3.17}$$

Thus, by (3.17) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\||x|^{\gamma}u_2\|_p^p &\leq C\int_{E_2}\int_{E_2}|x|^{\gamma}\Gamma(x,y)|f(y)|^p dydx\\ &= C\int_{E_2}|f(y)|^p\left(\int_{E_2}|x|^{\gamma}\Gamma(x,y)dx\right)dy\leq C\|f\|_p^p\ .\end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to show the invertibility of A_p and $D(A_p)\subset D(V)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that $N>2$, $\alpha>2$ and $\beta>\alpha-2$. Then the operator A_p is closed and invertible. Moreover there exists $C>0$ such that, for every $0\leq\gamma\leq\beta$, we have*

$$\|\,|\cdot|^{\gamma}u\|_p\leq C\|A_pu\|_p,\quad \forall u\in D_{p,max}(A)\ . \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Let us first prove the injectivity of A_p. Let $u\in D_{p,max}(A)$ such that $A_pu=0$, in particular $\tilde{A}_pu=0$. It follows that $u\in D_{p,max}(\tilde{A})=D(\Delta)\cap D\left(\frac{|x|^{\beta}}{1+|x|^{\alpha}}\right)$, see [9] (see [4, Theorem 2.5]). Then multiplying A_pu with $u|u|^{p-2}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^N$ we obtain, by [6],

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}u|u|^{p-2}\Delta u\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|x|^{\beta}}{1+|x|^{\alpha}}|u|^p dx\\ &= -(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^{p-2}|\nabla u|^2dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|x|^{\beta}}{1+|x|^{\alpha}}|u|^p dx,\end{aligned}$$

from which we have $u\equiv 0$. So, by (3.2) we obtain the invertibility of A_p.
By elliptic regularity one deduces that A_p is closed on $D_{p,max}(A)$. Finally, the estimate (3.18) follows from (3.14). □

The previous Theorem gives in particular the A_p-boundedness of the potential V and the following regularity result.

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that $N>2$, $\alpha>2$ and $\beta>\alpha-2$. Then*

(i) *there exists $C>0$ such that for every $u\in D_{p,max}(A)$*

$$\|Vu\|_p\leq C\|A_pu\|_p;$$

(ii)

$$D_{p,max}(A) = \left\{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\right\}.$$

Proof. We have only to prove the inclusion $D_{p,max}(A) \subset \left\{u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid Au \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\right\}$. Let $u \in D_{p,max}(A)$. Then, by (i), $Vu \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and hence

$$\Delta u = \frac{Au + Vu}{1 + |x|^\alpha} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

So, the thesis follows from the Calderon-Zygmund inequality. □

We can now state the main result of this section

Theorem 3.7. *Assume that $N > 2$, $\beta > \alpha - 2$ and $\alpha > 2$. Then, $[0, +\infty) \subset \rho(A_p)$ and $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}$ is a positive operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\lambda \geq 0$. Moreover, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1} f = (\lambda - A)^{-1} f$.*

Proof. Let us first prove that if $0 \leq \lambda \in \rho(A_p)$, then $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}$ is a positive operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. To this purpose, take $0 \leq f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and set $u = (\lambda - A_p)^{-1} f$. Then, by Corollary 3.6, $u \in D(\tilde{A}_p)$ and

$$-(\tilde{A}_p - \lambda q)u = qf =: \tilde{f},$$

where $q(x) = \frac{1}{1+|x|^\alpha}$. Since $\tilde{A}_p$ generates an exponentially stable and positive C_0-semigroup $(\tilde{T}_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [4, Theorem 2.5]), it follows that the semigroup $(e^{-t\lambda q}\tilde{T}_p(t))_{t\geq 0}$ generated by $\tilde{A}_p - \lambda q$ is positive and exponentially stable. Hence,

$$u = (\lambda q - \tilde{A}_p)^{-1} \tilde{f} \geq 0.$$

We show that $E = [0, +\infty) \cap \rho(A_p)$ is an non empty open and closed set in $[0, +\infty)$.
By Proposition 3.5 we have $0 \in \rho(A_p)$ and hence $E \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, using the above positivity property and the resolvent equation we have $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1} \leq (-A_p)^{-1} = L$ for any $\lambda \in E$ and therefore

$$\|(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}\| \leq \|L\|, \tag{3.19}$$

it follows that the operator norm of $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1}$ is bounded in E and consequently E is closed. Finally, since $\rho(A_p)$ is an open set, it follows that E is open in $[0, +\infty)$. Thus, $E = [0, +\infty)$.

Now in order to show the last statement we may assume $f \in C_c^\infty$, the thesis will follows by density. Setting $u := (\lambda - A_p)^{-1} f$, we obtain, by local elliptic regularity (cf. [2, Theorem 9.19]), that $u \in C^{2+\sigma}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $0 < \sigma < 1$. On the other hand, $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Corollary 3.6. If $p \geq \frac{N}{2}$, then by Sobolev's inequality, $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in [p, +\infty)$. In particular, $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $q > \frac{N}{2}$ and hence $Au = -f + \lambda u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, since $u \in C^{2+\sigma}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that $u \in W^{2,q}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, $u \in D_{q,max}(A) \subset W^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Corollary 3.6 and Sobolev's embedding theorem, since $q > \frac{N}{2}$.

Let us now suppose that $p < \frac{N}{2}$. Take the sequence (r_n), defined by $r_n = 1/p - 2n/N$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $q_n = 1/r_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let n_0 be the smallest integer such that $r_{n_0} \leq 2/N$ noting that $r_{n_0} > 0$. Then, $u \in D_{p,max}(A) \subset L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem. As above we obtain that $u \in D_{q_1,max}(A) \subset L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Iterating this

argument, we deduce that $u \in D_{q_{n_0},max}(A)$. So we can conclude that $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ arguing as in the previous case. Thus, $Au = -f + \lambda u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Again, since $u \in C^{2+\sigma}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that $u \in W^{2,q}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (1, +\infty)$. Hence, $u \in D_{max}(A)$. So, by the uniqueness of the solution of the elliptic problem, we have $(\lambda - A_p)^{-1} f = (\lambda - A)^{-1} f$ for any $f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$. □

4. Generation of semigroups

In this section we show that A_p generates an analytic semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 < p < \infty$, provided that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$.

We start by proving a weighted gradient estimate. To this purpose we need the following covering result from, see [1, Proposition 6.1], to prove a weighted gradient estimate.

Proposition 4.1. *For every $0 \le k < 1/2$ there exists a natural number $\zeta = \zeta(N, k)$ with the following property: Given $\mathcal{F} = \{B(x, \rho(x))\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N}$, where $\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a Lipschitz continuous function with Lipschitz constant k. Then there exists a countable subcovering $\{B(x_n, \rho(x_n))\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that at most ζ among the double balls $\{B(x_n, 2\rho(x_n))\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ overlap.*

To prove the main result of this section we need the following weighted gradient estimate.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in D_{p,max}(A)$ we have*

$$\|(1 + |x|^{\alpha-1})\nabla u\|_p \le C(\|A_p u\|_p + \|u\|_p)\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $u \in D_{p,max}(A)$. We fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and choose $\vartheta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \le \vartheta \le 1$, $\vartheta(x) = 1$ for $x \in B(1)$ and $\vartheta(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(2)$. Moreover, we set $\vartheta_\rho(x) = \vartheta\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\rho}\right)$, where $\rho = \frac{1}{4}(1 + |x_0|)$. We apply the well-known inequality

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(B(R))} \le C\|v\|^{1/2}_{L^p(B(R))}\|\Delta v\|^{1/2}_{L^p(B(R))},\ \ v \in W^{2,p}(B(R)) \cap W^{1,p}_0(B(R)),\ R > 0 \tag{4.2}$$

to the function $\vartheta_\rho u$ and obtain for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,\rho))} &\le \|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla(\vartheta_\rho u)\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\\
&\le C\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha}\Delta(\vartheta_\rho u)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2}\vartheta_\rho u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\\
&\le C\left(\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha}\Delta(\vartheta_\rho u)\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2}\vartheta_\rho u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right)\\
&\le C\left(\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha}\Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))} + \frac{2M}{\rho}\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right.\\
&\quad\left. + \frac{M}{\rho^2}\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha}u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right)\\
&\le C\left(\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha}\Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))} + 8M\varepsilon\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right.\\
&\quad\left. + \left(16M + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\right)\|(1 + |x_0|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(M)\left(\varepsilon\|(1+|x_0|)^{\alpha}\Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}+\varepsilon\|(1+|x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|(1+|x_0|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right),$$

where $M=\|\nabla\vartheta\|_\infty+\|\Delta\vartheta\|_\infty$. Since $2\rho=\frac{1}{2}(1+|x_0|)$ we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(1+|x_0|)\leq 1+|x|\leq\frac{3}{2}(1+|x_0|),\qquad x\in B(x_0,2\rho).$$

Thus

$$\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,\rho))}\leq\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}\|(1+|x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,\rho))}$$
$$\leq C\left(\varepsilon\|(1+|x_0|)^{\alpha}\Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}+\varepsilon\|(1+|x_0|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|(1+|x_0|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(2^{\alpha}\varepsilon\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha}\Delta u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}+2^{\alpha-1}\varepsilon\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{2^{\alpha-2}}{\varepsilon}\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_{L^p(B(x_0,2\rho))}\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Let $\{B(x_n,\rho(x_n))\}$ be a countable covering of $\mathbb{R}^N$ as in Proposition 4.1 such that at most ζ among the double balls $\{B(x_n,2\rho(x_n))\}$ overlap.

We write (4.3) with x_0 replaced by x_n and sum over n. To the limit as n tends to infinity, taking into account the covering result above, we get

we get

$$\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p\leq C\big(\varepsilon\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha}\Delta u\|_p+\varepsilon\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p$$
$$+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_p\big)\ .$$

Choosing ε such that $\varepsilon C<1/2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p\leq\frac{1}{2}\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha}\Delta u\|_p+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-2}u\|_p\ .$$

It follows from Corollary 3.6 that $\||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p\leq\|(1+|x|^{\beta})u\|_p\leq\|u\|_p+\|Vu\|_p\leq\|u\|_p+C\|A_pu\|_p$ for every $u\in D_{p,max}(A)$ and some $C>0$. Hence,

$$\|(1+|x|)^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p\leq C(\|A_pu\|_p+\|u\|_p)$$

for all $u\in D_{p,max}(A)$. This ends the proof of the lemma. □

The following lemma shows that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for A_p.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume $N>2$, $\alpha>2$ and $\beta>\alpha-2$. The space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $D_{p,max}(A)$ with respect to the graph norm.*

Proof. Let us first observe that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $W_c^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the operator norm. Let $u\in W_c^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and consider $u_n=\rho_n*u$, where ρ_n are standard mollifiers.

We have $u_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $D^2u_n \to D^2u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, $supp\, u_n \subset supp\, u + B(1) := K$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_pu - Au_n\|_p &= \|A_pu - Au_n\|_{L^p(K)}\\ &\le \|(1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta(u-u_n)\|_{L^p(K)} + \||x|^\beta(u-u_n)\|_{L^p(K)}\\ &\le \|(1+|x|^\alpha)\|_{L^\infty(K)}\|\Delta(u-u_n)\|_{L^p(K)} + \||x|^\beta\|_{L^\infty(K)}\|(u-u_n)\|_{L^p(K)} \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now, let u in $D_{p,max}(A)$ and let η be a smooth function such that $\eta = 1$ in $B(1)$, $\eta = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(2)$, $0 \le \eta \le 1$ and set $\eta_n(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$. Then consider $u_n = \eta_n u \in W_c^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. First we have $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by dominated convergence. As regard A_pu_n we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_pu_n(x) &= (1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta(\eta_n u)(x) - |x|^\beta\eta_n(x)u(x)\\ &= \eta_n(x)A_pu(x) + 2(1+|x|^\alpha)\nabla\eta_n(x)\nabla u(x) + (1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta\eta_n(x)u(x)\\ &= \eta_n(x)A_pu(x) + \frac{2}{n}(1+|x|^\alpha)\nabla\eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\nabla u(x) + \frac{1}{n^2}(1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta\eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)u(x)\,,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta_nA_pu \to A_pu \qquad \text{in} \qquad L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

by dominated convergence. As regards the lasts terms we consider that $\nabla\eta(x/n)$ and $\Delta\eta(x/n)$ can be different from zero only for $n \le |x| \le 2n$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{n}(1+|x|^\alpha)\left|\nabla\eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right||\nabla u| \le C(1+|x|^{\alpha-1})|\nabla u|\chi_{\{n\le|x|\le2n\}},$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^2}(1+|x|^\alpha)\left|\Delta\eta\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right||u| \le C(1+|x|^{\alpha-2})|u|\chi_{\{n\le|x|\le2n\}}\,.$$

The right hand side tends to 0 as $n\to\infty$, since by Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 4.2 we have $\|(1+|x|^{\alpha-2})u\|_p \le C(\|A_pu\|_p + \|u\|_p)$ and $\|(1+|x|^{\alpha-1})\nabla u\|_p \le C(\|A_pu\|_p + \|u\|_p)$. □

Let us give now the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$. Then the operator A_p with domain $D_{p,max}(A)$ generates an analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^p$, $\rho > 0$. Consider the operator $\widetilde{A_p} := A_p - \omega$ where ω is a constant which will be chosen later. It is known that the elliptic problem in $L^p(B(\rho))$

$$\begin{cases}\lambda u - \widetilde{A_p}u = f & \text{in } B(\rho)\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B(\rho)\,,\end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

admits a unique solution u_ρ in $W^{2,2}(B(\rho)) \cap W_0^{1,2}(B(\rho))$ for $\lambda > 0$, (cf. [2, Theorem 9.15]).

Let us prove that that $e^{\pm i\theta}\widetilde{A_p}$ is dissipative in $B(\rho)$ for $0 \le \theta \le \theta_\alpha$ with suitable $\theta_\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. To this purpose observe that

$$\widetilde{A_p}u_\rho = \operatorname{div}\left((1+|x|^\alpha)\nabla u_\rho\right) - \alpha|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\nabla u_\rho - |x|^\beta u_\rho - \omega u_\rho\,.$$

Set $u^\star = \overline{u}_\rho |u_\rho|^{p-2}$ and recall that $a(x) = 1 + |x|^\alpha$. Multiplying $\widetilde{A_p} u_\rho$ by $u^\star$ and integrating over $B(\rho)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A_p} u_\rho \, u^\star dx = -\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \\
&-\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx - (p-2)\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} \overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho Re(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) dx \\
&-\alpha \int_{B(\rho)} \overline{u}_\rho |u_\rho|^{p-2} |x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} \nabla u_\rho \, dx - \int_{B(\rho)} \left(|x|^\beta + \omega \right) |u_\rho|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We note here that the integration by part in the singular case $1 < p < 2$ is allowed thanks to [6]. By taking the real and imaginary part of the left and the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Re\left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A_p} u_\rho \, u^\star dx \right) \\
= \; & -(p-1)\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx - \int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \\
& -\alpha \int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-2} |x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} Re(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) \, dx - \int_{B(\rho)} \left(|x|^\beta + \omega \right) |u_\rho|^p dx \\
= \; & -(p-1)\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx - \int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \\
& -\frac{\alpha}{p} \int_{B(\rho)} |x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} \nabla (|u_\rho|^p) \, dx - \int_{B(\rho)} \left(|x|^\beta + \omega \right) |u_\rho|^p dx \\
= \; & -(p-1)\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx - \int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Im(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx \\
& + \int_{B(\rho)} \left(\frac{\alpha(N-2+\alpha)}{p} |x|^{\alpha-2} - |x|^\beta - \omega \right) |u_\rho|^p dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Im\left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A_p} u_\rho \, u^\star dx \right) \; &= \; -(p-2)\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} Im(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) Re(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) \, dx \\
& \quad -\alpha \int_{B(\rho)} |u_\rho|^{p-2} |x|^{\alpha-1} \frac{x}{|x|} Im(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We can choose $\tilde{c} > 0$ and $\omega > 0$ (depending on $\tilde{c}$) such that

$$\frac{\alpha(N-2+\alpha)}{p} |x|^{\alpha-2} - |x|^\beta - \omega \leq -\tilde{c}|x|^{\alpha-2}.$$

So, we obtain

$$-Re\left(\int_{B(\rho)} \widetilde{A_p} u_\rho \, u^\star dx \right) \; \geq \; (p-1)\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4} |Re(\overline{u}_\rho \nabla u_\rho)|^2 dx$$

$$+\int_{B(\rho)} a(x)|u_\rho|^{p-4}|Im(\overline{u}_\rho\nabla u_\rho)|^2dx+\tilde{c}\int_{B(\rho)}|u_\rho|^p|x|^{\alpha-2}dx$$
$$=(p-1)B^2+C^2+\tilde{c}D^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|Im\left(\int_{B(\rho)}\widetilde{A_p}u_\rho\,u^\star dx\right)\right|\\ \leq\;& |p-2|\left(\int_{B(\rho)}|u_\rho|^{p-4}a(x)|Re(\overline{u}_\rho\nabla u_\rho)|^2dx\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_{B(\rho)}|u_\rho|^{p-4}a(x)|Im(\overline{u}_\rho\nabla u_\rho)|^2dx\right)^{\frac12}\\ &+\alpha\left(\int_{B(\rho)}|u_\rho|^{p-4}|x|^\alpha|Im(\overline{u}_\rho\nabla u_\rho)|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_{B(\rho)}|u_\rho|^p|x|^{\alpha-2}\,dx\right)^{\frac12}\\ =\;& |p-2|BC+\alpha CD,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B^2=\int_{B(\rho)}|u_\rho|^{p-4}a(x)|Re(\overline{u}_\rho\nabla u_\rho)|^2dx,$$
$$C^2=\int_{B(\rho)}|u_\rho|^{p-4}a(x)|Im(\overline{u}_\rho\nabla u_\rho)|^2dx,$$
$$D^2=\int_{B(\rho)}|u_\rho|^p|x|^{\alpha-2}\,dx.$$

Let us observe that, choosing $\delta^2=\frac{|p-2|^2}{4(p-1)}+\frac{\alpha^2}{4\tilde{c}}$ (which is independent of ρ), we obtain

$$\left|Im\Big(\int_{B(\rho)}\widetilde{A_p}u_\rho\,u^\star dx\Big)\right|\leq\delta\left\{-Re\Big(\int_{B(\rho)}\widetilde{A_p}u_\rho\,u^\star dx\Big)\right\}.$$

If $\tan\theta_\alpha=\delta$, then $e^{\pm i\theta}\widetilde{A_p}$ is dissipative in $B(\rho)$ for $0\leq\theta\leq\theta_\alpha$. From [10, Theorem I.3.9] follows that the problem (4.4) has a unique solution u_ρ for every $\lambda\in\Sigma_\theta$, $0\leq\theta<\theta_\alpha$ where

$$\Sigma_\theta=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}:|Arg\,\lambda|<\pi/2+\theta\}.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant C_θ which is independent of ρ, such that

$$\|u_\rho\|_{L^p(B(\rho))}\leq\frac{C_\theta}{|\lambda|}\|f\|_{L^p},\quad\lambda\in\Sigma_\theta. \tag{4.5}$$

Let us now fix $\lambda\in\Sigma_\theta$, with $0<\theta<\theta_\alpha$ and a radius $r>0$. We apply the interior L^p estimates (cf. [2, Theorem 9.11]) to the functions u_ρ with $\rho>r+1$. So, by (4.5) we have

$$\|u_\rho\|_{W^{2,p}(B(r))}\leq C_1\left(\|\lambda u_\rho-\widetilde{A_p}u_\rho\|_{L^p(B(r+1))}+\|u_\rho\|_{L^p(B(r+1))}\right)\leq C_2\|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Using a weak compactness and a diagonal argument, we can construct a sequence $(\rho_n)\to\infty$ such that the functions (u_{ρ_n}) converge weakly in $W^{2,p}_{loc}$ to a function u which satisfies

$\lambda u - \widetilde{A_p} u = f$ and

$$\|u\|_p \leq \frac{C_\theta}{|\lambda|}\|f\|_p, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma_\theta. \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, $u \in D_{p,max}(A_p)$. We have now only to show that $\lambda - \widetilde{A_p}$ is invertible on $D_{p,max}(A_p)$ for $\lambda \in \Sigma_\theta$. Consider the set

$$E = \{r > 0 : \Sigma_\theta \cap C(r) \subset \rho(\widetilde{A_p})\},$$

where $C(r) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < r\}$. Since, by Theorem 3.7, 0 is in the resolvent set of $\widetilde{A_p}$, then $R = \sup E > 0$. On the other hand, the norm of the resolvent is bounded by $C_\theta/|\lambda|$ in $C(R) \cap \Sigma_\theta$, consequently it cannot explode on the boundary of $C(R)$, then $R = \infty$ and this ends the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 4.5. *Since A_p generates an analytic semigroup $T_p(\cdot)$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the semigroups $T_q(\cdot)$, $q \in (1,\infty)$ are consistent, see Theorem 3.7, one can deduces (as in the proof of* [4, Proposition 2.6]*) using Corollary 3.6 that $T_p(t)L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset C_b^{1+\nu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $t > 0$, $\nu \in (0,1)$ and any $p \in (1,\infty)$.*

We end this section by studying the spectrum of A_p. We recall from Proposition 3.5 that

$$\||x|^\beta u\|_p \leq C\|A_p u\|_p, \quad \forall u \in D_{p,max}(A).$$

So, arguing as in [4] we obtain the following results

Proposition 4.6. *Assume $N > 2$, $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > \alpha - 2$ then*

(i) the resolvent of A_p is compact in L^p;
(ii) the spectrum of A_p consists of a sequence of negative real eigenvalues which accumulates at $-\infty$. Moreover, $\sigma(A_p)$ is independent of p;
(iii) the semigroup $T_p((\cdot)$ is irreducible, the eigenspace corresponding to the largest eigenvalue λ_0 of A is one-dimensional and is spanned by strictly positive functions ψ, which is radial, belongs to $C_b^{1+\nu}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\nu \in (0,1)$ and tends to 0 when $|x| \to \infty$.

References

[1] G. Cupini, S. Fornaro, Maximal regularity in L^p for a class of elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients, *Diff. Int. Eqs.***17** (2004), 259-296.
[2] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order,* Second edition, Springer, Berlin, (1983).
[3] L. Lorenzi, M. Bertoldi, *Analytical Methods for Markov Semigroups,* Chapman & Hall/CRC, (2007).
[4] L. Lorenzi, A. Rhandi, On Schrödinger type operators with unbounded coefficients: generation and heat kernel estimates, (submitted). Available on ArXiv (http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.0734), 2012.
[5] G. Metafune, D. Pallara, M. Wacker, Feller Semigroups on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Semigroup Forum* **65** (2002), 159-205.
[6] G. Metafune, C. Spina, An integration by parts formula in Sobolev spaces, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* **5** (2008), 359-371.
[7] G. Metafune, C. Spina, Elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients in L^p spaces, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **11** (2012), no. 2, 303–340.
[8] G. Metafune, C. Spina, C. Tacelli, Elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion and drift coefficients in L^p spaces, *Adv. Diff. Equat.* **19** (2014), no. 5-6, 473–526.

[9] N. Okazawa, An L^p theory for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **36** (1984), 675688.
[10] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations,* Applied mathematical sciences **44**, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
[11] Z. Shen, L_p estimates for Schrdinger operators with certain potentials, *Annales de linstitut Fourier* **45** (1995), 513–546.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, I 84084 FISCIANO (Sa), Italy.
E-mail address: acanale@unisa.it

Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Ingegneria Elettrica e Matematica Applicata, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, I 84084 FISCIANO (Sa), Italy.
E-mail address: arhandi@unisa.it

Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Ingegneria Elettrica e Matematica Applicata, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, I 84084 FISCIANO (Sa), Italy.
E-mail address: ctacelli@unisa.it